

Appn. Number 10/785,159 (Chandler) AU 3781 Amendment A

I am the applicant for "My Putter Pal Putter Holder". Applicant has received Office Action Summary, Mail Date 04/04/2007. This is Applicant's first ever patent application and the first Office Action. Pro Se Applicant is a novice in patent application matters. Applicant has a question about Claim 9.

A. Claim 9 is not mentioned in the Office Action.

1. Question. Does this mean claim 9 is tacitly allowable?

Applicant's summary of Claim rejections indicated in the Office Action.

B. Claims rejected under USC 102(b) 1,2,4,5,7,12

C. Claims rejected under USC 102(e) 1,2,4,7,10,12

D. Claims rejected under USC 103(a) 1,2,4,5,6,7,8,10,12


E. Claims rejected under USC 112, 2nd paragraph 3,5,6,8

Applicant's observation about Claim 3.

F. Quote of Office Action 12. "Claim 3 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims". Applicant believes the Examiner can provide assistance to the pro se Applicant by writing acceptable claims.

H. Therefore it is submitted that patentable subject matter is clearly present. If the Examiner agrees but does not feel that the present claims are technically adequate, applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner write acceptable claims pursuant to MPEP 707.07(j).


Very Respectfully,


Edward H Chandler

Applicant Pro Se
453 Dolphin Street
Melbourne Beach, FL 32951
321-723-4481

Certificate of mailing: I certify that on the date below this document will be deposited with the US Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to ; Organization TC3700, Bldg/Room RANDOLPH, US Department of Commerce, Commissioner For Patents, PO BOX 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

May 7, 2007


Edward H Chandler
Edward H Chandler, Applicant